



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,049	02/13/2006	Nicola Da Daft	I435,128.101/I12928US	4105
25281	7590	10/31/2008	EXAMINER	
DICKE, BILLIG & CZAJA FIFTH STREET TOWERS 100 SOUTH FIFTH STREET, SUITE 2250 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			GANNON, LEVI	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	2817			
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
10/31/2008	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/541,049	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DA DALT, NICOLA
	<b>Examiner</b> LEVI GANNON	<b>Art Unit</b> 2817

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 July 2008.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.      2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 17-19,22,23,25 and 29-31 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 17-19,22,23,25 and 29-31 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 July 2008 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Drawings***

The drawings received on 07/18/08 are acceptable. Accordingly, the objection to the drawings, set forth in the Office Action mailed 04/27/08, is hereby withdrawn due to amendments made by the Applicant.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The rejection of claims 24 and 40 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is rendered moot due to the cancellation of claims 24 and 40.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

**Claims 17, 19, 22, 25, 29, and 31 are rejected** under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Duff (GB 2 002 157; reference of record).

Regarding claim 17, Duff discloses a device (figure 2) for frequency synthesis comprising: an oscillator (11,30) driven for generating, at a frequency out of a set of at least two possible output frequencies (frequencies can be: frequency found at "IN" node, a divided frequency from 1 lb, or zero), an output signal (OUT); and a control

device (20) for driving the oscillator (11,30), wherein the control device, for the purpose of generating a desired frequency that is not included in the set of possible output frequencies (by providing an average frequency), is configured to drive the oscillator to alternately generate at least two different output frequencies (frequencies can be: frequency found at "IN" node, a divided frequency from 1 lb, or zero), out of the set of possible output frequencies, such that an average value of the generated output frequencies over a certain time period is the desired frequency (note abstract) plus or minus a relative frequency error (A relative frequency error will inherently be present because no circuitry can be designed operate perfectly.), wherein the control device is configured to drive the oscillator such that the at least two generated output frequencies are alternated at an average switching frequency that is less than the at least two possible output frequencies (Switches 35/37, and 36/37 can be switched at any desired speed, specification page 2, lines 104-110.); and a frequency divider (11k) connected to the output (output of 11c) of the oscillator and configured to reduce the relative frequency error generated at the average switching frequency. (The divider 11k is connected to the output of the oscillator of Duff exactly how the divider and oscillator in the instant application are connected. Due to the structural similarities between the device of Duff and the instant application, the frequency divider of Duff will inherently provide the same function as the frequency divider in the instant application.)

As for claim 19, Duff teaches the control device (20) being configured to drive the oscillator such that the at least two generated output frequencies are alternated at an average switching frequency that is greater than the reciprocal value of the certain time

Art Unit: 2817

period. (Switches 35/37 and 36/37 can be switched at any desired speed, specification page 2, lines 104-110.)

In terms of claims 22 and 25, Duff teaches the oscillator comprising a digitally controlled oscillator. Switching device part of oscillator (11, 30) contains digital devices 35-37.

Regarding claims 29 and 31, the methods as recited in the claims are inherently present in the structure as discussed above in the rejections of claims 17 and 19.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

**Claims 17 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirotomi (European Patent Application 0 430 493; reference of record) in view of Dietl et al. (hereinafter "Dietl) (US Patent 6,556,088).**

As for claim 17, Hirotomi discloses a device (figure 7) for frequency synthesis comprising: an oscillator (inside dotted line box) driven for generating, at a frequency out of a set of at least two possible output frequencies (by adjusting current provided to delay stages seen in figure 7), an output signal; and a control device (701/702 and transistor providing current) for driving the oscillator, wherein the control device, for the purpose of generating a desired frequency that is not included in the set of possible

output frequencies (note column 1, lines 5-10), is configured to drive the oscillator to alternately generate at least two different output frequencies, out of the set of possible output frequencies, such that an average value of the generated output frequencies over a certain time period is the desired frequency (Adjusting the current fed to the oscillator through the transistor is adjusted by adjusting the variable resistors 701 and 702. The output frequency of the oscillator is then changed by way of a varying control current.) plus or minus a relative frequency error (A relative frequency error will inherently be present because no circuitry can be designed operate perfectly.), wherein the control device is configured to drive the oscillator such that the at least two generated output frequencies are alternated at an average frequency that is less than the at least two possible output frequencies (The resistors 701 and 702 may be adjusted at any frequency relative to the output frequency of the oscillator.).

Hirotomi does not teach a frequency divider connected to the output of the oscillator and configured to reduce the relative frequency error generated at the average switching frequency.

However, one well known application of ring oscillators to those of ordinary skill in the art includes placing a ring oscillator in phase locked loop; wherein the phase locked loop includes a frequency divider connected to the output of the ring oscillator. One well known example is taught by Dietl; wherein the output of a ring oscillator (16 in figure 1; detailed in figure 2) is provided to a frequency divider (18) in a phase locked loop system (figure 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to place the ring oscillator of Hirotomi into a phase locked loop; wherein the phase locked loop includes a frequency divider connected to the output of the ring oscillator because such a modification would have been making use of a well known application of ring oscillators to those of ordinary skill in the art. Note: Placing a frequency divider at the output of the oscillator of Hirotomi (as shown in figure 1 of Dietl) would be similar to the structure taught in the instant application. Due to the structural similarities between the modified device of Hirotomi and the instant application, the frequency divider of Hirotomi will inherently provide the same function as the frequency divider in the instant application.

As for claim 23, Hirotomi teaches the oscillator comprises a ring oscillator (note ring oscillator in figure 7), wherein a current (from transistor shown), out of a set of possible currents (provided by changing values of resistors 701 and 702), can be supplied to the ring oscillator for the purpose of driving the ring oscillator (current inherently is driving the ring oscillator of Hirotomi).

**Claims 18 and 30 are rejected** under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duff in view of Kamas et al (hereinafter "Kamas") (US Patent 6,429,799; reference of record).

In terms of claim 18, Duff teaches the control device (20) operating in an analog to digital conversion principle but fails to teach the control device driving the oscillator with a bit stream generated according to a delta-sigma-principle.

Kamas teaches the delta sigma principle being a well known form of analog to digital conversion. Note column 1, lines 61-63.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to drive the oscillator with a control device according to the delta sigma principle because such a modification would have been an addition of a well known analog to digital conversion circuit.

Regarding claim 30, the method as recited in the claim is inherently present in the structure as discussed above in the rejection of claim 18.

### ***Conclusion***

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEVI GANNON whose telephone number is (571)272-7971. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30AM-6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571) 272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LG  
10/28/08

/Robert Pascal/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2817